

Statistical Inference

Toolbox so far

- Uninformed search
 - BFS, DFS, Dijkstra's algorithm (Uniform-cost search)
- Heuristic search
 - A^* , greedy best-first search
- Probability and Bayes nets
 - Exact inference algorithm, approximate inference algorithms

Bayesian networks (Bayes nets)

- Specify a full joint probability distribution.
 - Uses conditional and marginal independences to represent information compactly.
 - Example of a **probabilistic model**.
- All probability questions have a **unique right answer**.
 - We can use the exact inference algorithm for Bayes nets to find it.

Real world

- Real world situations are often *missing* a model (maybe we don't have all the information necessary to create a Bayes net).
- We only have a small handful of observations about the world and we aren't entirely sure about how things relate to each other.
- How can we make probability estimates now?

Statistical inference

- Statistical inference lets us make probability estimations from observations about the way the world works, even if those observations don't tell the full story.
 - How likely is this email spam?
 - What is the probability it will rain tomorrow?
 - If I visit a certain house when trick-or-treating, what is the chance I'll get a Snickers bar?

Types of inference

- Hypothesis testing:
 - Given two or more hypotheses (events), decide which one is more likely to be true based on some data.
 - Example: Is this email spam or not spam?
- Parameter inference:
 - Given a model that is missing some probabilities, estimate those probabilities from data.
 - Example: Estimate bias of a coin from flips.

Hypothesis testing

- Let D be the event that we have observed some ***data***.
 - Ex: D = received an email containing "cash" and "viagra"
 - Sometimes D is also called *evidence* or *observations*.
- Let H_1, \dots, H_k be disjoint, exhaustive events representing ***hypotheses*** to choose between.
 - Ex: H_1 = this email is spam, H_2 = it's not spam.
- How do we use D to decide which H is most likely?

Maximum likelihood

- Suppose we know or can estimate the probability $P(D | H_i)$ for each H_i .
- The ***maximum likelihood (ML) hypothesis*** is:

H^{ML} =
maximum
likelihood
hypothesis

$$H^{ML} = \arg \max_i P(D | H_i)$$

- How to use it: compute $P(D | H_i)$ for each hypothesis and select the one with the greatest value.

What is argmax? It means evaluate $P(D | H_i)$ for all hypotheses H_i and take the *hypothesis* that maximizes $P(D | H_i)$. This is not a number; this is a hypothesis (an *event*)!

- Professors Larkins and Sanders bake cookies for all of the CS students! Each professor keeps the cookies in their offices and the students can go pick one up.
- Sanders has baked an equal number of both chocolate chip and oatmeal raisin cookies.
- Larkins has baked chocolate chip and oatmeal raisin and as well, but twice as many oatmeal raisin as chocolate chip.
- I ask my friend to get me a cookie. I know they will visit either Larkins or Sanders. My friend comes back with a chocolate chip cookie.
- Is my cookie more likely to have been baked by Sanders or Larkins?



- I know that when my parents send me a check, there is an 98% chance that they will send it in a yellow envelope.
- I also know that when my dentist sends me a bill, there is a 5% chance that they will send it in a yellow envelope.
- Suppose a yellow envelope arrives on my doorstep.
- What is the maximum likelihood hypothesis regarding the sender?

Why ML sometimes is bad

- Suppose I tell you that there is a 3% chance that my any given envelope will be from my parents and a 97% chance that any given envelope will be from my dentist. Does it still seem likely that the envelope contains a check from my parents?

Bayesian reasoning

- Rather than compute $P(D \mid H_i)$, let's compute $P(H_i \mid D)$.
- What is the ***posterior probability*** of H_i given D ?

$$P(H_i \mid D) = \frac{P(D \mid H_i)P(H_i)}{P(D)} = \alpha P(D \mid H_i)P(H_i)$$

MAP hypothesis

- **Maximum a posteriori (MAP) hypothesis** is the H_i that maximizes the posterior probability:

$$H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_i P(H_i | D)$$

$$H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_i \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{P(D)}$$

$$H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_i P(D | H_i)P(H_i)$$

ML vs MAP

$$H^{ML} = \arg \max_i P(D | H_i)$$

$$H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_i P(D | H_i)P(H_i)$$

- The MAP hypothesis takes the prior probability of each hypothesis into account, ML does not.

- Professors Larkins and Sanders bake cookies for all of the CS students! Each professor keeps the cookies in their offices and the students can go pick one up.
- Sanders has baked an equal number of both chocolate chip and oatmeal raisin cookies.
- Larkins has baked chocolate chip and oatmeal raisin and as well, but twice as many oatmeal raisin as chocolate chip.
- I ask my friend to get me a cookie. **Suppose I know that my friend picks Larkins' cookies 90% of the time.** My friend comes back with a chocolate chip one.
- Is my cookie more likely to have been baked by Larkins or Sanders?

- I know that when my parents send me a check, there is an 98% chance that they will send it in a yellow envelope.
- I know that when my dentist sends me a bill, there is a 5% chance that she will send it in a yellow envelope.
- Unfortunately, I also know that there is a only a 3% chance that any given envelope will be from my parents, while there is a is a 97% chance that any given envelope will be from my dentist.
- Suppose a yellow envelope arrives on my doorstep. What is the MAP hypothesis regarding the sender?

- There are 3 robots.
- Robot 1 will hand you a snack drawn at random from 2 doughnuts and 7 carrots.
- Robot 2 will hand you a snack drawn at random from 4 apples and 3 carrots.
- Robot 3 will hand you a snack drawn at random from 7 burgers and 7 carrots.
- Suppose your friend goes up to a robot (you don't see this happen) and is given a carrot. Which robot did your friend probably approach?
- What if the prior probability of your friend approaching robots 1, 2, and 3 are 20%, 40%, and 40%, respectively?

ML vs MAP

$$H^{ML} = \arg \max_i P(D | H_i)$$

$$H^{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_i P(D | H_i)P(H_i)$$

- When are the two hypothesis predictions the same?

Probability vs hypothesis

- Sometimes you only care about which hypothesis is more likely, and sometimes you need the actual probability.

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_i|D) &= \frac{P(D|H_i)P(H_i)}{P(D)} \\ &= \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{\sum_j P(D, H_j)} \\ &= \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{\sum_j P(D | H_j)P(H_j)} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(H_i|D) = \frac{P(D|H_i)P(H_i)}{P(D)} = \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{\sum_j P(D | H_j)P(H_j)}$$

- In the robot problem, what is $P(R3 | C)$?

Probability vs hypothesis

- In the robot problem, what is $P(R_3 | C)$?

$$P(R_3|C) = \frac{P(C|R_3)P(R_3)}{P(C)}$$

$$P(R_3|C) = \frac{P(C|R_3)P(R_3)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 P(C, R_i)}$$

$$P(R_3|C) = \frac{P(C|R_3)P(R_3)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 P(C|R_i)P(R_i)}$$

$$= (7/9 * 2/10) / (7/9 * 2/10 + 3/7 * 4/10 + 1/2 * 4/10) \approx 0.2952$$

One slide to rule them all



- The maximum likelihood hypothesis is the hypothesis that maximizes the probability of the observed data:

$$H^{\text{ML}} = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(D | H_i)$$

- The MAP hypothesis is the hypothesis that maximizes the posterior probability given D:

$$H^{\text{MAP}} = \underset{i}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(D | H_i)P(H_i)$$

- $P(H_i)$ is called the prior probability (or just prior).
- $P(H_i | D)$ is called the posterior probability.

- There are 3 robots.
- Robot 1 will hand you a snack drawn at random from 2 doughnuts and 7 carrots.
- Robot 2 will hand you a snack drawn at random from 4 apples and 3 carrots.
- Robot 3 will hand you a snack drawn at random from 7 burgers and 7 carrots.
- Suppose your friend goes up to a robot (you don't see this happen) and is given a carrot. Which robot did your friend probably approach?
- What if the prior probability of your friend approaching robots 1, 2, and 3 are 20%, 40%, and 40%, respectively?

Probability vs hypothesis

- Sometimes you only care about which hypothesis is more likely, and sometimes you need the actual probability.

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_i|D) &= \frac{P(D|H_i)P(H_i)}{P(D)} \\ &= \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{\sum_j P(D, H_j)} \\ &= \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{\sum_j P(D | H_j)P(H_j)} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(H_i|D) = \frac{P(D|H_i)P(H_i)}{P(D)} = \frac{P(D | H_i)P(H_i)}{\sum_j P(D | H_j)P(H_j)}$$

- In the robot problem, what is $P(R3 | C)$?

Probability vs hypothesis

- In the robot problem, what is $P(R_3 | C)$?

$$P(R_3|C) = \frac{P(C|R_3)P(R_3)}{P(C)}$$

$$P(R_3|C) = \frac{P(C|R_3)P(R_3)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 P(C, R_i)}$$

$$P(R_3|C) = \frac{P(C|R_3)P(R_3)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 P(C|R_i)P(R_i)}$$

$$= (7/9 * 2/10) / (7/9 * 2/10 + 3/7 * 4/10 + 1/2 * 4/10) \approx 0.2952$$

- Suppose I work in FJ in a windowless office. I want to know whether it's raining outside. The chance of rain is 70%. My colleague walks in wearing his raincoat. If it's raining, there's a 65% chance he'll be wearing a raincoat. Since he's very unfashionable, there's a 45% chance he'll be wearing his raincoat even if it's not raining. My other colleague walks in with wet hair. When it's raining there's a 90% chance her hair will be wet. However, since she sometimes goes to the gym before work, there's a 40% chance her hair will be wet even if it's not raining.
- What's the posterior probability that it's raining?

- We can't solve this problem because we don't have any information about the probability of Colleague 1 wearing a raincoat and Colleague 2 having wet hair occurring *simultaneously*.
- We don't know $P(C, W \mid R)$.
- Let's make an *assumption* that C and W are conditionally independent given that it is raining (or not raining).
- $P(C, W \mid R) = P(C \mid R) * P(W \mid R)$
 - (and similarly for given $\sim R$)

Combining evidence

- It is very common to make this independence assumption for multiple pieces of evidence (data).

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_i \mid D_1, \dots, D_m) &= \frac{P(D_1, \dots, D_m \mid H_i) P(H_i)}{P(D_1, \dots, D_m)} \\ &= \frac{(P(D_1 \mid H_i) \cdots P(D_m \mid H_i)) P(H_i)}{P(D_1, \dots, D_m)} \\ &= \frac{(\prod_{j=1}^m P(D_j \mid H_i)) P(H_i)}{P(D_1, \dots, D_m)} \end{aligned}$$

where
$$P(D_1, \dots, D_m) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^m P(D_j \mid H_i) \right) P(H_i)$$